## Supporting Information

The grass fuel properties used for the fuel break

**Table S1.** The specifications of the M-1 (mixed wood) and O-1b (grass) fuel types used in the Prometheus model.

M-1		O-1b (for landscape)		O-1b (for WUI fuel break)	
Tree height	13 m	degree of curing	60%	degree of curing	10/30/50%
Canopy base height	6 m	fuel load	3.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	fuel load	1.7/3.5/7 t ha-1
Crown fuel load	0.8 kg m <sup>-2</sup>				
Foliar moisture	120%				
content					

## WRF model verification

Slightly underestimated 2-m air temperature and overestimated 2-m RH values in the WRF forecast model for the Jarbo Gap RAWS location in our study are in good agreement with the WRF simulation with 444 m horizontal grid spacing presented in [28].



**Figure S1.** Observed weather data at Jarbo Gap weather station vs. WRF output at the nearest grid point for a sample diurnal cycle. The dashed red vertical line indicates approximate fire ignition time.





**Figure S2.** WRF simulation of vertical profiles of (left) wind speeds and (right) wind directions at the upwind weather stream location between 06:00 and 15:00 PST on 8 Nov 2018.

Impact of non-burnable housing structures on fire spread simulations



**Figure S3.** Fire growth simulations (red contour lines) using a fuel patch that replaced nonburnable fuel type (grey color) with a M-1 (90% percent conifer value) fuel type (left) and without a fuel patch (right). The solid black outline shows the observed burned perimeter at 18:00 PST.



Dominant fuel types inside the observed burn perimeter

**Figure S4.** (a) Vegetation map of the study area created using Scott and Burgan fuel model data downloaded from the LANDFIRE website. (b) a fuel distribution inside the observed burn perimeter (red contour outline in (a)).

Impact of FFMC on fire spread



**Figure S5.** Percent of area burned in Paradise (inside the magenta polygon in Figure 1) vs. time after ignition in hours for the 200 m WUI fuel break runs with different FFMC values.